



**GAS IMPORT JETTY AND PIPELINE PROJECT
ENVIRONMENT EFFECTS STATEMENT
INQUIRY AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

TECHNICAL NOTE

TECHNICAL NOTE NUMBER: TN 014

DATE: 07 October 2020

LOCATION: Crib Point Jetty

EES/MAP BOOK REFERENCE: Chapter 14

SUBJECT: Response to IAC RFI 092 – Section 10.4 Residential properties proximate to the Crib Point Jetty

SUMMARY The information provided in this technical note outlines the consultation with the landowner of 103 The Esplanade Crib Point with respect to the project.

REQUEST: Provide advice about direct consultation with landowners proximate to the Crib Point Jetty about visual impacts and mitigation options, including landscape screening associated with 103 The Esplanade.

NOTE:

1. There are a number of residents in proximity to the Crib Point Jetty Works. However, the landowner of 103 The Esplanade Crib Point (**Landowner**) is the primary resident that may experience visual impacts arising from the Crib Point Jetty Works. Therefore, this technical note focusses on engagement with the Landowner.
2. However, MM-LVO2 provides that the proponents will, where possible, introduce vegetation to screen facilities within the viewshed of residences, if reasonably requested by the affected landholders (including the Landowner or other residents in proximity to the Project).

Early Consultation with the Landowner

3. The Landowner first met with AGL stakeholder representatives on 23 August 2017. Soon after this meeting, the Landowner consented to participating in early noise monitoring survey work to assess current background levels during United Petroleum’s existing operations at the jetty.
4. When the noise monitoring results became available in June 2018, the Landowner was contacted to set up a meeting to discuss the results. The Landowner said he was not interested in attending and indicated there was no need for further conversations.
5. In early January 2019, the Landowner was contacted to arrange further noise monitoring for the EES. Again, the Landowner consented to the noise monitoring at his property but was not interested in engaging further.

Meeting with Noise Consultant

6. On 5 February 2019, AGL arranged a meeting between the Landowner and the noise consultant from Jacobs at the Landowner’s property to discuss the earlier noise survey results.



At this meeting, the Landowner's concerns regarding safety impacts of the Project were also discussed in detail.

7. The following actions were agreed during in the meeting:
 - (a) To provide the Landowner with an early copy of the draft EES noise report once it is was completed.
 - (b) To provide the Landowner information on the number of FSRU's around the world and their locations (*provided via email on 11 February 2019 and precise co-ordinates provided on 13 March 2019*).
 - (c) To add an additional noise monitor for background noise at Woolleys beach picnic area (*which was actioned by Jacobs*).
 - (d) AGL stakeholder representative to meet with the Crib Point Stony Point Foreshore Committee (*completed at the next committee meeting in March*).
 - (e) On 26 February 2019, the Landowner attended a Crib Point community meeting and had access to LNG safety experts, such as Kevin Young from DNV-GL.
8. The Landowner was offered a briefing from AGL's safety team in subsequent email correspondence in February and March 2019. The Landowner did not take up this offer.

Visual Amenity Assessment

9. In September 2019 the Proponents contacted the Landowner via mobile phone and he agreed to allow a photographer access to his property to take the photographs at night for the EES visual impact report.
10. In early January 2020, further photographs for the Landscape Visual Impact Assessment were needed. This included additional night time photos of the jetty from the Landowners backyard when a United Petroleum vessel was in port. An AGL stakeholder representative contacted the Landowner by phone to arrange this and then confirmed arrangements via email.

Final Reports and Workshop

11. In August 2019 early results of the noise and safety EES reports became available and workshops were arranged with the residents along the Esplanade. These workshops were planned for September 2019. However, the Landowner was unable to attend the workshops.
12. The Landowner was always co-operative and provided the proponents with access to the property. However, the Landowner was not an active participant in engagement with the proponents during the second half of 2019 due to personal reasons. Therefore, options to mitigate any potential impacts to visual amenity were not advanced (and we understand the Landowner did not wish to consider options that would compromise the property's ocean views).

Submission

13. The Landowner made submission #2785 but did not want to be heard at the hearing.

CORRESPONDENCE: N/A

ATTACHMENTS: N/A